#### University of Massachusetts - Amherst ScholarWorks@UMass Amherst

**Cranberry Station Extension meetings** 

Cranberry Station Outreach and Public Service Activities

1-1-2010

#### 2010 Cranberry Management Update: Nutrient Management BMPs

Carolyn J. DeMoranville University of Massachusetts - Amherst, carolynd@umext.umass.edu

DeMoranville, Carolyn J., "2010 Cranberry Management Update: Nutrient Management BMPs" (2010). Cranberry Station Extension meetings. Paper 90.

http://scholarworks.umass.edu/cranberry\_extension/90

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Cranberry Station Outreach and Public Service Activities at ScholarWorks@UMass Amherst. It has been accepted for inclusion in Cranberry Station Extension meetings by an authorized administrator of ScholarWorks@UMass Amherst. For more information, please contact scholarworks@library.umass.edu.



### Nutrient Management

#### Carolyn DeMoranville UMass Amherst Cranberry Station





### SARE Project surveys at Cranberry Update Meetings

#### Project (LNE 05-217) funded by Northeast Region Sustainable Agriculture Research and Education Program





Irrigation/Frost/drainage	<u>January-08</u>	January-09
	Per	<u>cent</u>
Irrigation automation	8	9
On-off cycling during frost protection	10	16
Drainage		
Installed submerged drainage last 2 years		39
60% into existing bogs		
Did other drainage improvements		51
Most cleaned or deepened ditches		
Would install drainage tiles in a renovated bog Note: depth/spacing very variable, most used depth / wide spacing	d shallow	65

#### Nutrient management



N-P ratios (by grower reporting) All applications 1N to more than 1 P (eg. 12-24-12, 5-15-30) All applications 1N to <u>no more</u> than 1 P (eg. 15-15-15, 18-8-18)

Plan to reduce P use Reduced P use



#### Final meeting survey (n=102)

% respondents

Would prune in lieu of sanding	31
Schedule irrigation using sensors or floats	21
Cycle irrigation during frost protection	16
Improved/installed drainage in the last 3 years	39
Purposely reduced P use in the past 3 years	51
Implemented 2 of 5 stated practices	33
Implemented 3 of 5	14
Implemented 4 of 5	6
Implemented all 5	2

### Highlights of field research – Supplements



### Highlights of field research – New Plantings



## Nutrient Management BMPs

Original Guide produced in 1996

- Some practices revised and some added in 2000
- Much research since
- Revision began in 2009





### **BMP Guide**

Entire Guide to be revised in 2010

Looking for grower input

• Final product will be posted online

Will include hot links (Chart Book sections, references)





# Nutrient BMP - General

Soil temperature important to nutrient uptake
 Wait for 55F

### Drainage!!

- Nutrient uptake requires water and oxygen
- Too wet no oxygen
- Too dry elements won't dissolve and move to roots





# Nitrogen – Nitrogen Cycle

Ammonium
Soil T
Low pH
Removal in crop

(~23 lb in 150 bbl)



# Nitrogen – Plant Cycle

- Add N when the plant needs it
- Soil T best to add when between 55F and 70F
- Rate based on cultivar, growth stage, appearance, tissue test



# **BMP** Phosphorus

Unless you can document a serious deficiency, there is no need to exceed 20 lb/a P.

Test tissue periodically – 0.1-0.2% is the standard range. See timing recommendations in chart book and handout.

Do not apply P to saturated soil





# **BMP Phosphorus**

- The best fertilizer choices have 1N:1P or more than 1N:1P
- Examples 1:1 15-15-15; 13-13-13
  - If you use less than 45 lb/acre N, P will be less than 20 lb/acre
- Example more than 1:1 18-8-18
  With this, 45 lb/acre N gives ~8.5 lb/acre P





## Why P reduction?

Pollution concerns for fresh water

Clean Water Act mandated TMDL process









#### Tissue P in normal range



Tissue P below normal range





#### Tissue P (2006 regression data)



Applied P (kg/ha)



### Summary – recent field plots

- Trends indicate that some P may be better than no P, although not much of a rate response
- At one location P in the tissue was below the standard range and there was a response to >20 lb P/acre
- Further justification for a target P rate of no more than 20 lb P/acre and some justification for lower rate consideration





### Fertilizer and yield – whole bog comparison

(P in lb·a<sup>-1</sup>; Yield in bbl·a<sup>-1</sup>)

	Site 1		Site 2		
Year	P rate	<b>Yield</b>	P rate	<u>Yield</u>	
2002	17.8	117	24.9	117	
2003	14.4	119	22.3	119	
2004	5.6	172	17.3	196	
2005	16.5	190	24.0	121	
2006	6.4	163	5.7	244	
2007	10.4	156	11.4	136	
2008	5.9	221	221 7.6		
pre-reduction	17.8	117	22.1	138	
post-reduction	9.9	170	8.2	217	

### Fertilizer and yield – whole bog comparison

(P in lb·a<sup>-1</sup>; Yield in bbl·a<sup>-1</sup>)

	Site 3		Site 4		
Year	P rate	<u>Yield</u>	<u>P rate</u>	<u>Yield</u>	
2002	28.8	221	35.5	[65]*	
2003	19.8	136	32.4	150	
2004	21.2	218	28.0	277	
2005	26.1	134	24.8	159	
2006	7.1	256	12.9	286	
2007	14.7	197	16.7	252	
2008	19.2	220	9.1	359	
pre-reduction	28.8	221	30.2	195	
post-reduction	18.0	194	12.9	299	

\*Insect infestation at this site in 2002





All except 'No fertilizer' received 25 #N

## **New Plantings**



- Plugs can be fertilized right away but...
  - May look dormant in first 2-3 weeks
- Cuttings, all slow after a week or wait ~3 weeks
- Use slow release N
- Limit use of complete N-P-K
- Do not use high P materials
  - Use 1N to 1P or less than 1P
  - Limit to 30 lb P/acre in year 1



### Highlights of field research – New Plantings



# Reactive Layer/Polymer

- Controlled and Slow
- Release : Osmotic Diffusion
- Factors Effecting Release:







### **Resin Coated**





#### Release : Fissure Movement / Diffusion

#### • Factors Effecting Release:





# Sulfur-Coated Urea



- Controlled release, faster than others
- Release : Catastrophic Eruption, Microbial , H<sub>2</sub>O penetration

### Factors Effecting Release:





# Natural Organics

#### Release : Microbial; SLOW

### Factors Effecting Release:





# Water quality (N)

- If some is good more is NOT better
  - Disease
  - Overgrowth
  - Poor production
  - AND increased risk to coastal waters
- The Physiology of Cranberry Yield











# Keep fertilizer out of water

- Don't apply to ditches
- Drop ditch levels
- Divert water pathways or impound
- Avoid applications before heavy rain or irrigation





### CES/SMAST Field Study Cranberry Bog Nitrogen Loss

Bog ID>	EH	PV	BEN	WS	M-K	ASH
Nitrogen Inflow to Bog						
Irrigation	0.4	1.5	0.6	0.2	1.7	2.4
Groundwater	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.3	0.0	0.0
Frost Protection	0.8	1.8	1.4	0.5	1.6	2.0
Pest Management	0.0	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
Harvest	1.3	3.4	4.5	1.2	4.2	2.9
Winter Protection	3.0	3.7	5.2	1.4	4.8	4.0
Total IN	5.5	10.5	12.8	3.6	12.4	11.3
Nitrog	gen Outflo	w from Bo	g			
Drainage/Infiltration	5.7	6.7	10.5	4.6	7.7	7.2
Harvest	2.1	5.3	9.4	4.3	4.5	2.8
Winter	4.0	4.6	6.4	1.7	4.0	5.2
Total OUT	11.9	16.5	<u>26.3</u>	-10.5	16.2	15.2
Net Nitrogen Loss (lb/a/yr)=	6.4	6.0	(13.5	7.0	3.7	3.8
Nitrogen Output to Downgradient Systems (Ib N/acre/yr)						
Pine-Oak Forest	0.4					/
Cranberry Bog Nitrogen Output	6.4		(Flow Tl	n <mark>rough</mark> E	<b>Bog = 8.6</b>	
Residential (density 1 per 2.5 acres)	5.7					
Direct Precipitation on Bay	9.8					

## How can we reduce N output?

- Practice BMPs regarding rate, timing, split applications
- Look at it more as a water problem
  - Amount of flow
  - Direction of flow
  - Pathway of flow





# Amount of flow

- Follow recommendations on flooding, drainage, and irrigation
- Research on looking at how to limit groundwater upwelling
  - Compare 2 upwelling sites (10 lb/a/yr) vs.
  - 4 not upwelling sites (5 lb/a/yr)





## Direction of flow

Diversion
Tail water recovery
Can also relate to attenuation

Research on how to limit flow-through situations – by-pass canals?

- Compare flow-through (8.6 lb/a/yr)
- To all other types (6.4 lb/a/yr)





# Pathway of flow

- Attenuation function of ponds, steams, and wetlands
- Vegetative channels or retention ponds between the bog and the final discharge point – research planned on how to best accomplish this





## Attenuation

- Mill Brook watershed (Howes and Millham, 1991)
  - TDN leaving the bog was 0.99 ppm
  - Downstream the load had decreased to 0.71 ppm





### April 2007 report to DEP (Woods Hole Group and Teal Partners)





### Literature review - attenuation

Denitrification in wetlands is the most effective at attenuating N

•  $NO_3$  to  $N_2$ 

Denitrification in ponds and streams next best

Uptake by vegetation less effective





# Models and Lit. review MEP conservative estimates

Ponds – 50% attenuation

2 studies: 39-95% and 84-96%

Streams – 30% attenuation

30-40% observed in riverine systems

Salt marshes – 40% attenuation

Range of 40-50% in previous Howes work





# Water Quality P

- More is not better
  - We saw this in the field experiments earlier
- Again think of it as a water problem
  Also think about oxygen













Fig. 1. Time course of phosphate release from flooded soils excised from natural cranberry bogs (unmanaged, A) and commercial cranberry bogs in MA receiving either 12-20 kg•ha<sup>-1</sup> (B) or greater than 22 kg•ha<sup>-1</sup> (C) applied P fertilizer per season. Note that the Y-axis on A is ~10<sup>-1</sup> those of B and C. Bars represent S.E., n= 6 (A) or 12 (B and C).





Laboratory results were similar to those in water collected from a harvest flood





### **BMP** recommendations

#### Apply 20 lb P/a OR LESS

 Based on the laboratory study, highest risk for P mobilization - bogs receiving >20 lb P

Allow particles to settle prior to discharge of harvest flood but do not hold the flood for more than ~10 days





### Fall fertilizer is not recommended

Most danger of water quality issues due to saturation

If indicated by tissue test or vine appearance, use low or no P formulations and limit N to 5 lb/acre.











