### FINAL REPORT ON CITIZEN VOLUNTEER MONITORING OF WATER QUALITY IN FALMOUTH'S COASTAL PONDS

Brian L. Howes Department of Biology

Alan W. White Sea Grant Program

Dale D. Goehringer Department of Biology

March, 1990



This cooperative project is conducted with funding from the Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution Sea Grant Program and the Town of Falmouth Planning Office.

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

Ĩ

Executive Summary
Introduction 1.
Background 2.
Volunteers 3.
Organization and Training 4.
Equipment 5.
Sample Locations 5.
Sampling Logistics 6.
Pond Watcher Measurements and Assays 7.
Laboratory Assays
Oysters 8.
Communications 9.
Results and Discussion 10.
Physical/Chemical Processes10.Salinity10.Rainfall11.Temperature11.Water Column Stratification12.Oxygen13.Nutrients15.Oysters18.
Nitrogen Budget for Little Pond 19.
Conclusions 21.
Recommendations 23.
Plans for 1990 24.
Acknowledgements 25.
Appendix 1 - Newspaper Requests for Volunteers Appendix 2 - List of Pond Watchers Appendix 3 - Sampling Protocol Appendix 4 - Maps and Stations Appendix 5 - Sample Depths Appendix 6 - Newspaper Articles

#### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

In 1987 a cooperative effort was initiated between the Town of Falmouth and the WHOI Sea Grant Program to address the matter of deteriorating water quality in Falmouth's coastal ponds. This initiative led to the development and implementation of a more comprehensive project in 1988 and 1989 based on the active participation of citizen volunteers in water quality observations and sampling of Oyster, Little and Green Ponds and additional WHOI Sea Grant sponsored projects on coastal ponds being initiated.

The objectives of this "Pond Watcher Project" were 1) to provide the Town with a documentation of the water quality status of the three ponds, 2) to provide information that would assist the Town Planning Office in interpreting the newly enacted Coastal Pond Nutrient Overlay Bylaw, and 3) to generate an increased awareness among the citizenry of Falmouth of the water quality problems facing coastal ponds.

More than 60 citizens volunteered and have taken part in this project. Over the two-year period about half of these people participated in sampling trips on the ponds using their own boats, or became involved in other projects such as oyster growing and rainfall recording. About 600 person-hours were spent by volunteers in connection with this project. Sampling equipment was provided to the "Pond Watchers" by WHOI Sea Grant and training sessions were held to teach sampling techniques. Pond Watchers made measurements in the ponds and prepared samples for nutrient analyses, conducted at WHOI. Sixteen complete sampling trips were conducted between September 1987 and October 1989, ten by Pond Watchers and six by WHOI personnel. The project proceeded very smoothly, largely because of the interest and dedication of the volunteers.

This project has generated a significant body of information about Oyster, Little and Green Ponds. In general terms, the data show pronounced nutrient loading of these ponds. Existing nitrogen levels in each of the ponds already exceed the threshold guideline of 0.5 mg total nitrogen per liter specified for these ponds in the Coastal Pond Nutrient Overlay Bylaw (although the seaward end of Green Pond averages slightly less than 0.5 mg total nitrogen per liter). Moreover, all of Oyster Pond and the upper reaches of Little and Green Ponds exceed 0.75 mg total nitrogen per liter, the guideline specified for "intensive use areas." Consistent with these nutrient concentrations was our finding of periodic low oxygen conditions during the summer months throughout Little Pond, the upper reaches of Green Pond and seasonal anoxia in the mid and upper regions of Oyster pond -- significant oxygen depletion is the ultimate negative impact of high nutrient conditions -- placing the animal and plant communities in these areas under stress. All of these findings lead to the general recommendation that management options be considered to reduce nutrient inputs (or increase nutrient outputs) to these ponds during the critical summer months.

#### INTRODUCTION

ŕ

Like many coastal ponds in Massachusetts, salt ponds in the Town of Falmouth are showing signs of diminished water quality and ecological stress. The causes, while not always clear, appear to involve nutrient loading which may be the result of increasing human pressures on the ponds and their associated watersheds. The population of Falmouth, as well as the other towns on the Cape and Islands, is growing rapidly, bringing intense demand for the desirable properties surrounding coastal ponds. The increased multiple uses of these ponds and their drainage areas are causing nutrient enrichment of these systems. The nutrients derive from a variety of sources including septic systems, lawn fertilizers, road run-off, and other activities associated with residential and commercial developments. The significance of these development-related nutrient inputs depends in part on the relative natural loading and cycling of nutrients in the recipient pond.

Coastal salt ponds, by their nature, are highly productive, nutrient-rich environments, frequently providing areas rich in shellfish. These ecosystems are generally able to tolerate high nutrient loads but, due to their high productivity and low flushing rates, run the risk of suffering from the extremely negative impacts of over-fertilization. Three ponds in particular, Oyster, Little and Green Ponds, presently show signs of advanced eutrophication: dense algal blooms, heavy growth of bottom vegetation, unsightly algal mats, odor production, shellfish contamination and occasional fish kills. Before this present study, most of our environmental assessment of these ponds was based on visual impressions with some quantitative data on water column nutrient levels collected mainly in association with environmental impact studies for commercial and residential developments. However, the data are too few and the sampling too unstructured to provide a sufficient understanding of the nutrient status of these ponds for use in planning and management.

The Town of Falmouth, like many other towns in Massachusetts, faces the difficult questions of when and how to deal with coastal pond water quality deterioration. While it is at present unclear how much of the current nutrient loading problem in Falmouth's coastal ponds is due to development, it is certain that in most

situations increased loading will accelerate the decline in water quality and the deterioration of these environments. Management options include enlarging and improving the ponds' outlets to the sea, increased sewerage, restrictions on lawn fertilization, installation of denitrification systems, rezoning, building moratoria, etc. The Town is in need of water quality data and information on these ponds in order to enact management schemes and development policies which will not compromise these outstanding natural assets.

#### BACKGROUND

In April, 1987, the concern over the increasing eutrophication of Oyster, Little and Green Ponds was raised at the Falmouth Town Meeting. Dr. William Kerfoot, Chairman of the Salt Pond Planning Committee for the Town of Falmouth, requested that \$60,000 be appropriated to have a diagnostic study of the ponds conducted. The Town was very interested in the problems of these ponds, but was unable to provide the required funding. The Town did, however, approve \$5,000 as "seed" money (under the auspices of the Town Planning Board) to help initiate a water quality study of the ponds.

Recognizing the deterioration in the water quality of these ponds, Dr. David Ross, Coordinator of the Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution Sea Grant Program as well as a Town Meeting Member, indicated that WHOI Sea Grant would try to help the Town in this regard.

During the summer of 1987, Dr. Alan White, Marine Science Advisor with WHOI Sea Grant, and Dr. Brian Howes, Assistant Scientist, and Ms. Dale Goehringer, Research Associate in the Biology Department at WHOI, developed plans for a water quality study of Oyster, Little, and Green Ponds (Fig. 1). The project consisted of two parts, a preliminary survey followed by a comprehensive two-year water quality monitoring study in 1988 and 1989 involving the participation of citizen volunteers.

The purpose of the project was to provide the Town with comprehensive documentation of present water quality conditions in the ponds. The Town requires




Figure 1. Relative location of the coastal salt ponds, Falmouth, MA. Little, Green and Oyster Ponds have been identified as areas of environmental concern by the Town of Falmouth.

the data for planning and for assessing the effectiveness of future management actions. Further, the project was designed to give concerned citizens an opportunity to become directly involved in the future of Falmouth's coastal ponds and to draw community attention to the increasing human pressures on these coastal resources.

At the Town Meeting in April, 1988, a Coastal Pond Overlay Bylaw was approved to preserve water quality. The Bylaw specified annual mean threshold values for total nitrogen concentrations in Falmouth's coastal ponds as follows: 0.32 mg total nitrogen per liter for "High Quality Areas," 0.50 mg per liter for "Stabilization Areas," and 0.75 mg per liter for "Intensive Water Activity Areas." Therefore, as this citizen-based water quality study developed, a further objective was to provide information to the Planning Board to assist it in assessing and interpreting the new Bylaw.

Funding for the preliminary survey and the first and second years of citizen monitoring has been provided jointly by the Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution Sea Grant Program and the Town of Falmouth Planning Office.

Preliminary surveys were conducted in the fall of 1987 and the spring of 1988. These surveys provided an initial data base and set the stage for the citizen volunteer component of the project in terms of developing sampling protocol (station locations, sampling depths, equipment needs, parameters to be measured, etc.). The citizens' monitoring effort began in the late spring of 1988. Through the enthusiasm, interest and selfless dedication of citizen volunteers ("Pond Watchers") we have very successfully completed the sampling program in 1988 and 1989 and have generated a substantial data set.

#### VOLUNTEERS

Requests for volunteers for this project were made in May and June, 1988 through newspaper articles (see Appendix 1) and radio and TV announcements. We explained that we were looking for people to perform either of two tasks. First, we needed volunteers who own, or have access to, a small boat and who would be willing to go to certain locations in the ponds once a month to make measurements and take samples -- in mid-July through mid-October, 1988 and mid-May through mid-October in 1989. Second, we needed volunteers who live close to the ponds to serve as the "eyes, ears, and noses" of the ponds and promptly report to us unusual occurrences, such as fish kills, algae mats, strange colors, bad odors, etc. It was stressed that a scientific background was not required for either task, only willingness to contribute.

The response from the community was swift and positive. Within just a few weeks, 55 people (of all ages and all walks of life) volunteered to help with the project. Since then the number of volunteers has increased to 65. A listing of these volunteers, henceforth referred to as "Pond Watchers," is given in Appendix 2.

#### ORGANIZATION AND TRAINING

An initial organizational meeting of the Pond Watchers was held on June 30, 1988 at Town Hall to explain the project, its overall goals, and what we expected of the volunteers. At that time, "Pond Captains" were appointed to help coordinate participation and performance for their ponds. These volunteer Pond Captains are as follows:

Oyster Pond	- John Dowling
	- Julie Rankin
Little Pond	- Jack Shohayda
Upper Green Pond	- Frank Souza
Lower Green Pond	- Edmund Wessling
	- Armand Ortins

Training sessions were held at the Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution on the evenings of July 12 and 15, 1988, in preparation for our first volunteer sampling effort on Sunday, July 17. Pond Watchers were shown the various pieces of equipment and participated in a hands-on demonstration of the techniques involved. The sampling protocol (Appendix 3) was explained step-by-step. It consisted of taking temperature, oxygen and light measurements at selected locations at the surface and at various depths and then collecting water samples, some of which were filtered for subsequent nutrient assays. A retraining session was held on May 10, 1989, in preparation for the 1989 sampling season.

#### EQUIPMENT

Equipment and supplies for Pond Watchers were purchased or fabricated during the spring and early summer of 1988. Eleven sets of sampling equipment and supplies were distributed among the Pond Captains. Each tool box contained a Secchi disc fastened on a fiberglass measuring tape, color wheel, thermometer, filters, filter holders, filtering syringe, forceps, oxygen kit, maps, data sheets, instruction sheets, waste reagent container, pens and pencils, etc. In addition, we provided a cooler for transporting and storing samples, and an instrument for water sampling -Niskin samplers were used for Oyster Pond, pole samplers with bottles attached at fixed locations were used for the shallower Little and Green Ponds.

Electronic (battery-operated) rain gauges were purchased and installed at Pond Watchers' homes at four locations - Oyster Pond, Bob Livingstone; Siders Pond, Alan White; Little Pond, Robert Roy; and Green Pond, Edmund Wessling. Beginning in August, 1988, rainfall amount was recorded on a daily basis by those Pond Watchers. In addition, tide gauge (Joe Johnson) and water column light transmission (Robert Roy) stations were established in Little Pond in May 1989.

#### SAMPLE LOCATIONS

Based on hydrographic and bathymetric data from the preliminary phase of the project, 15 sampling station locations were chosen as depicted on the maps in Appendix 4. There were four stations in Oyster Pond, four in Little Pond, six in Green Pond, and one at Buoy #2 in Vineyard Sound. Landmarks indicated on the maps enabled Pond Watchers to collect samples and take measurements at the same spot, or close to it, each month.

The depths at which samples were taken are listed in Appendix 5. Again, based on the hydrographic and bathymetric information from the preliminary study, these depths were chosen so as to provide data from the different strata (layers) of

the pond.

In total, 33 sets of nutrient and particulate samples were taken and 33 sets of measurements were made by Pond Watchers per month.

#### SAMPLING LOGISTICS

As funding for the first year of the Pond Watcher project did not begin until July 1, 1988, the study was designed to have WHOI personnel collect samples from May through July of 1988 and Pond Watchers from July through October. Upon consensus of the Pond Watchers, the time of sampling was agreed to be Sunday mornings between 9 am and 12 noon. Prior to sampling days, Pond Captains ensured that teams of Pond Watchers (at least two per boat) were prepared to make the trip and were aware of their station designations, had adequate supplies, etc. Early in the morning on sampling days, Alan White telephoned Pond Captains to give them the "go" or "no go" for sampling, depending upon the weather and pond conditions. Fortunately, the weather was suitable for sampling on all 10 occasions in 1988 and 1989; no postponements were necessary.

Following sampling, Pond Watchers returned their samples (in coolers) and data sheets to their Pond Captains. Pond Captains kept the samples cold until Monday morning, when the samples and data sheets were collected by WHOI project personnel and returned to Brian Howes' laboratory for processing and analysis.

The dates of sampling are as follows:

September 16, 1987 (WHOI) October 19, 1987 " May 27, 1988 " July 6, 1988 " July 17, 1988 (Pond Watchers) August 14, 1988 " September 11, 1988 " October 16, 1988 " January 18, 1989 (WHOI) March 7, 1989 " May 21, 1989 (Pond Watchers) June 11, 1989 " July 16, 1989 " August 13, 1989 " September 10, 1989 " October 15, 1989 "

#### POND WATCHER MEASUREMENTS AND ASSAYS

Following the protocol specified in Appendix 3, Pond Watchers obtained the following information for each sample location and entered it on their data forms:

total depth temperature light penetration (Secchi disc reading) water color oxygen content (using Hach kit) comments on pond state, weather, etc.

In addition, Pond Watchers collected water samples and filtered portions of each. These water samples were kept in coolers with ice packs until they reached the laboratory where salinity and nutrient analyses were conducted as described below.

#### LABORATORY ASSAYS

In Brian Howes' laboratory at the Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, a research team processed the water samples and conducted analyses to determine concentrations of the following nutrients:

nitrate plus nitrite ammonium dissolved organic nitrogen particulate organic nitrogen particulate organic carbon dissolved organic phosphorus phosphate chloride

Salinity was determined by refractometer. For some samples taken during the preliminary survey phase of the project, sulfide and chlorophyll analyses were also conducted.

In total, more than 5,200 analytical determinations were made in the laboratory, coupled with more than 1,600 readings made in the field (depth, temperature, light, color, oxygen).

The results of the laboratory analyses and field measurements of oxygen and temperature are combined to produce an overall assessment of water quality conditions in the three ponds.

#### OYSTERS

In 1989 the Pond Watchers conducted an ancillary water quality project aimed at assessing the degree to which the ponds would support the growth of oysters.

In June oyster seed (certified disease-free) were obtained through the courtesy of Ocean Pond Corporation, Fishers Island, NY with the assistance of the Cotuit Oyster Company. On June 21 a team of volunteers weighed, measured, and numbered 600, thumbnail-size oysters. One hundred oysters were placed in each of six lantern net cages and suspended off the bottom at the six sites shown on the maps in Appendix 4 (two in Oyster Pond, one in Little Pond, two in Green Pond, and one in Vineyard Sound near the WHOI Shore Lab dock as a control).

The oysters were checked and cleaned at about weekly intervals by the following Pond Watchers:

Oyster Pond - Robert Livingstone and Barry Norris;

Little Pond - Robert Roy;

Green Pond - Stephen Molyneaux, Michael Kinney, and John Quinn;

Vineyard Sound - Alan White.

The oysters were harvested on December 10 and total weight, shell length and displacement volume were measured on December 11 to determine growth. The oysters were then frozen and two months later the weights of the oyster meats (ash-free dry weights) were determined.

#### COMMUNICATIONS

One of the goals of this project is to have the project serve as a vehicle for public involvement, awareness, and education concerning Falmouth's coastal ponds and the problems confronting them. The key to opening this opportunity has been the initial group of citizen volunteers who were interested and concerned enough to step forward and offer their time and assistance to help make the project succeed.

During the project we have encouraged a free flow of information between Pond Watchers and project personnel at WHOI. We maintained an "open-phone" policy with Pond Watchers, trying to be of assistance with questions and problems whenever possible. Further, through correspondence with all Pond Watchers on a regular basis we kept them informed of project progress and developments, along with summaries and explanations of certain phenomena in the ponds. On February 7, 1989 and March 23, 1990 WHOI Sea Grant sponsored "science parties" with presentations to inform Pond Watchers of the progress and results of the project as well as demonstrate our appreciation of their volunteer efforts.

We reached many other people in Falmouth, on Cape Cod, and even across the country with information about the concept of citizens' involvement in environmental monitoring and about the Pond Watcher project in Falmouth. News of the project appeared in newspaper articles (Appendix 6), on a number of radio interviews, on a taping for national television (CBN, 700 Club), at presentations given for Falmouth Garden Club's Environment Day and for Buzzards Bay Day, at national meetings on citizens monitoring of the environment held in Rhode Island and New Orleans, and in a nationally distributed directory of citizen volunteer monitoring programs resulting from those meetings.

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The sampling program in 1988 and 1989 went extremely well. The field, laboratory, and coordination components all proceeded better than was anticipated when the project was initially proposed. The major factor in the success of the project has been the enthusiastic and conscientious participation and cooperation of the volunteer Pond Watchers. Even the weather cooperated; all sampling trips were held as scheduled. In addition, having a large team of samplers enabled collection of data from all three ponds "simultaneously", which greatly enhances the interpond comparisons and strength of the results.

On all sampling occasions, secchi disk readings in Little Pond and Green Pond indicated that light was available at the bottom of the ponds, at 1% of surface light levels at minimum. Thus macroalgal growth on the bottom might also be a nutrient related concern for these ponds.

#### Physical/Chemical Processes

Falmouth's coastal salt ponds are relatively shallow, enclosed brackish water bodies that are structured ecologically by high nutrient conditions and relatively low flushing rates. Large variations in physical and chemical parameters exist both from pond to pond and from site to site within ponds. Even so, the basic relationships of nutrients, plant production, oxygen conditions and water exchange are the same as in all coastal salt ponds.

#### Salinity

Variations in salinity within and between ponds reflect the relative mixing of fresh (stream flow, groundwater inputs, runoff, precipitation) and salt water (specifically, exchange with Vineyard Sound) inputs. All three ponds studied, Green, Little, and Oyster Ponds, have salinities throughout the year that are significantly less than Vineyard Sound. Salinity differences between ponds appears to be directly related to the degree of exchange with Vineyard Sound (the source of salt water). All three ponds exhibit decreasing salinities with increasing distance from their inlets to Vineyard Sound (Figure 2). Green Pond exhibits a strong salinity gradient with salinity increasing from the head toward Vineyard Sound. This is not surprising




Figure 2. Average salinities for each sampling station within Green, Little and Oyster Ponds. As no strong seasonal trends in salinity were observed, the data from all 16 sampling collections has been combined.

considering the pond length and the surface, freshwater inputs at the head, groundwater discharges along its length, and increasing tidal exchange toward the mouth of the pond. The gradient for Little Pond is similar but less pronounced with the general pond salinities less than Green Pond, primarily because of its smaller size and more limited tidal exchange with Vineyard Sound. Oyster Pond is farther along the continuum and is the "freshest" of the three ponds because of its very restricted exchange with Vineyard Sound. The surface water salinities of Oyster Pond were typically around 10 ppt while water in the deep basin (Station 3) was in excess of 25 ppt. However, the interaction of the bathymetry of Oyster Pond (with its deep basins) and limited tidal exchange has resulted in an historic anoxia of its basins in summer related in part to the strong stratification caused by high salinity (dense) water settling in the basins.

#### Rainfall

Given the importance of direct nutrient and water inputs from rainfall and groundwater and the large variation in local rainfall which can occur in coastal areas, we are maintaining rain gauges on each of the ponds and on Siders Pond. Investigation of rainfall patterns has emphasized the importance of maintaining site-specific rain collection stations. The ponds show significant variation among them in terms of rainfall; therefore, using any single point (such as the Long Pond station) for measurement of this parameter masks the small-scale spatial variation in precipitation inputs to these ponds. Also, not unexpectedly, comparisons with data collected from Long Pond Station indicate that monthly total rainfall can be significantly different (three to four-fold) from the ten-year average frequently used in models to correlate rainfall to other environmental parameters. By keying each of our pond gauges to the Long Pond Station for several years we hope to determine a correction factor for each pond relative to Long Pond.

#### Temperature

Coastal ponds differ from adjacent coastal waters in another ecologically important, yet frequently overlooked way. The summer water temperature of the ponds can be more than 5 degrees Celcius (9 degrees Fahrenheit) warmer than




Figure 3.

Average temperature of all stations within each pond for each sampling period. Oyster Pond temperatures on average are much closer to Vineyard Sound than Green and Little Ponds due to its much greater depth.

Vineyard Sound (Figure 3). This has biological significance in that increased temperature stimulates respiration, hence oxygen consumption, in these systems. The ponds generally show a gradient from higher to lower temperature from the head toward Vineyard Sound. Some of this temperature variation is due to the shallower nature of the upper regions, some due to increased mixing with cooler Vineyard Sound waters near the pond entrance. Unfortunately, these conditions place the greatest temperature "stress" on the least well flushed and highest impact areas of the ponds.

#### Water Column Stratification

Since each of the ponds exhibits some level of estuarine circulation, freshwater flowing toward the Sound over more dense saline water flowing in, the potential for significant water column stratification exists. Stratification has important ecological effects on nutrient rich coastal environments where production of organic matter by plants and phytoplankton is high. The high rates of organic matter production almost inevitably result in high rates of oxygen uptake at night (when photosynthesis ceases) or when the organic matter decays. In a well mixed system oxygen can be replenished from the atmosphere, but in a stratified water column bottom waters become isolated and oxygen concentrations decline.

The existence of water column stratification can be determined from profiles of temperature and salinity. Simply put, cold water is more "dense" (heavier) than warm water and more saline water is more dense than less saline water. Coupling the effects of temperature and salinity we can determine the density of water at a given depth and compare that density with other depths in the water column. If a strong vertical gradient exists, the water column is stratified. For each sampling station within each pond on each sampling time we have determined stratification based on the ratio of the density of the surface (10 cm) versus bottom waters (Figure 4a,b,c,d). Ratios above approximately 1.2 indicate strong stratification and the higher the ratio, the more likely for the situation to persist.

Using this simplified technique, the Vineyard Sound station, as expected, never showed stratification. This results from the strong tidal currents and the small




Figure 4 a,b,c,d. Ratio of Bottom to Surface water density for each sampling station and time. Symbols represent sampling station i.d.'s (See Appendix 4). Values greater than about 1.2 represent stratification.




Figure 4 b.




Figure 4 c.




Figure 4 d.

freshwater input relative to the volume. In contrast, parts of all of the ponds exhibited periods of strong stratification, notably Upper Green Pond (Stations 2, 2a, 3), Little Pond (Stations 1, 2, 3) and Oyster Pond (Stations 1, 2, 3). Oyster Pond Station 3 (near barrier beach) was always strongly stratified due to the 15 ppt difference in salinity between surface and bottom waters.

The most significant ecological factor after the presence of stratification itself was that stratification was a summer phenomenon and in winter (except for one station in Oyster Pond) the water column in all of the ponds was well mixed. This is most likely due to temperature effects and the velocity and direction of winter versus summer wind patterns.

Oxygen

Measurements of water column oxygen concentrations show interesting correlations among water temperature, water column stratification, and oxygen concentrations, and some background information here may be useful to the understanding of their relationships. At high temperatures, the solubility (and therefore concentration) of oxygen in water is low; when water is cooled, oxygen content increases independent of biological activity (cold water holds more dissolved gas than does warm water). This is evident in the measurements conducted in Vineyard Sound, where a decrease in oxygen concentration was found with increased temperature from spring to summer. In this case, the decrease could be accounted for solely by physical processes influencing the solubility of the gas. In the ponds, however, biological factors are much more prominent and frequently obscure the purely physical effects. When water temperatures in the ponds increase, biological activities also increase which consume oxygen. Oxygen depletion occurs when the rate of consumption exceeds the rate of delivery to the water either from the atmosphere or from photosynthesis. In waters that are vertically well mixed, oxygen exchange with the atmosphere can maintain oxygenated conditions even at high rates of consumption. However, as we have seen (Figure 4), even though the coastal ponds are shallow, they are not vertically well mixed. In summer they can become significantly stratified, potentially leading to severe oxygen depletion. Since the






Figure 8 b.




Figure 8 a,b,c,d,e,f. Total Nitrogen for Green Pond Stations; depth averaged values for each sampling period.

# Coastal Pond Water Quality SEPTEMBER 1987 - OCTOBER 1989




Figure 8 c.

## **Coastal Pond Water Quality**

SEPTEMBER 1987 - OCTOBER 1989




Figure 8 d.

# Coastal Pond Water Quality SEPTEMBER 1987 - OCTOBER 1989






Figure 8 e.






Figure 8 f.

## **Coastal Pond Water Quality**

SEPTEMBER 1987 - OCTOBER 1989




Figure 9 a,b,c,d. Total Nitrogen for Little Pond Stations; depth averaged values for each sampling period.




Figure 9 b.




Figure 9 c.




Figure 9 d.




Figure 10 a,b,c,d. Total Nitrogen for Oyster Pond Stations; depth averaged values for each sampling period.

amount of oxygen in water changes with temperature due to physical factors, it is more relevant to gauge oxygen conditions based on percentage of saturation. In this way, samples from a variety of temperatures and salinities can be directly compared and water quality concerns directly addressed. Values of 100% of saturation represent a water column in equilibrium with the atmosphere. Although there is currently much scientific debate over acceptable oxygen values, it is certain that evidence of oxygen concentration below 80% of saturation indicate a system experiencing ecological stress. Vineyard Sound showed no significant oxygen depletion. This is expected from the well mixed nature and high water quality of Vineyard Sound waters (Figure 5a). Consistent with the summer occurrence of water column stratification at Upper Green Pond (Station 2, 2a, 3; Figure 4b), Little Pond (Station 1, 2, 3; Figure 4c) and Oyster Pond (Station 1, 2, 3; Figure 4d), these stations all exhibited significant oxygen depletions in their bottom waters (Figure 5b, Oxygen depletion at these stations were frequently about 40% below c, d). atmospheric equilibrium and represent stressful conditions to both animal and plant communities. However, periods of oxygen depletion were not always correlated with stratification. This suggests that the high levels of oxygen uptake within pond sediments and water column are sufficient to deplete oxygen even under mixed conditions and indicates that periodic anoxia (absence of oxygen) may be occurring at these sites.

As large as they were, the oxygen depletions measured in this study must be regarded as minimum depletions. This is due to the fact that the measurements were made in late morning after photosynthesis had resumed, hence oxygen production by plants was underway. Our work in other coastal salt ponds and specifically in Little Pond indicates minimum oxygen conditions occur near dawn after the maximum period of darkness, with a return above 80% of saturation by late afternoon. The oxygen conditions are the best evidence that the existing nutrient conditions are in a range incompatible with the maintenance of stable animal communities. More information on the oxygen concentrations in the ponds in relation to nutrient and




Figure 5 a,b,c,d. Oxygen concentration in bottom waters over stations and times as % of atmospheric equilibration. Values below 80% indicate potentially stressful conditions.




Figure 5 b.




Figure 5 c.




Figure 5 d.

physical parameters is critical to determining the overall environmental health of these systems.

Oyster Pond was the only pond to have sites of seasonal anoxia, i.e. no oxygen all summer and oxygen all winter. Both inland basins (Stations 1 and 2, Figure 5d) showed significant oxygenation during winter months. However, the summer anoxia will prevent the establishment of benthic communities at these sites. It is clear that the basins have experienced summer oxygen depletion for many years, likely a result, at least in part, of the physical configuration of the pond.

#### NUTRIENTS

Nutrient loading, primarily via groundwater inputs, affects each pond differently depending upon the variety of physical parameters to which each is subject. Primary factors that modify the ecological impacts of nutrient loading are flushing rates, stratification, temperature (oxygen consumption) and the form of nitrogen involved (inorganic/organic). Nitrogen is generally the limiting factor for plant growth in saltwater systems. It is for this reason that we have focussed primarily on nitrogen concentrations. In this study, total nitrogen was fractionated into inorganic (ammonium and nitrate) and organic (dissolved and particulate) pools. It is the inorganic forms which directly stimulate plant growth and lead to eutrophic conditions, but due to biological processes the form of nitrogen can cycle rapidly through all the pools. Knowing both the amount and form of nitrogen at any location helps to identify its source as well as its potential impact. A good way to evaluate how an ecosystem is responding or how it is processing nutrient inputs is to follow the changing fractionation of the total nitrogen as it moves through the Since the Coastal Zone Overlay uses water column integrated nutrient system. conditions, we have integrated our station depth profiles to depth weighted averages.

Green Pond experiences high nitrate inputs especially at the head of the pond, with a decrease in nitrate concentration moving toward Vineyard Sound (Figure 6a). The source of this nitrate entering the headwaters is probably groundwater and the nitrate is rapidly taken up by algae and phytoplankton living on the bottom and in




Figure 6 a,b,c. The mean percentage (n=16) of the total<sup>h</sup> itrogen at each station that consists of nitrate, ammonium, dissolved organic nitrogen (DON), or particulate oragnic nitrogen (PON). All of the ponds transform inorganic nitrogen to organic forms.




Figure 6 b.




Figure 6 c.

the water. Moving toward the Sound we concurrently see a decrease in inorganic forms of nitrogen (nitrate and ammonium) which are directly available for plant growth, and an increase in organic forms (particulate and dissolved organic nitrogen). This is indicative of high rates of plant production, the death and decay of which consumes oxygen. It is important to note that nitrogen at this stage has not been removed from the system, merely transformed into another form. There exists, therefore, a transformation gradient of nutrient forms along the pond, with primarily inorganic nitrogen (nitrate) at the head, and most of the nitrogen at Stations 2, 2A and 3 (Appendix 4) as particulate organic nitrogen (in phytoplankton and zooplankton). It is interesting to note the lowest oxygen concentrations occur where the particulate nitrogen form predominates, and vice versa as observed in Vineyard Sound waters.

The limited flushing experienced by Little Pond results in similar nutrient conditions at all stations in the basin. Preliminary results indicate high levels of nitrate enter the inland pond stations (Figure 6b). This pond exhibits high levels of organic nitrogen (dissolved and particulate) and ecologically stressful oxygen concentrations during part of the summer season. The changing fractionation is much like in Green Pond (Figure 6a). The relative importance of nitrogen transformations within Little Pond versus "new" nitrogen entering in groundwater is the subject of a companion ongoing WHOI Sea Grant project.

While Oyster Pond also acts to transform incoming inorganic nitrogen from the watershed to organic forms, the oxygen conditions of the pond adds an additional feature to the nitrogen distribution (Figure 6c). Due to the deep salinity-stratified basins and minimal flushing, the organic matter produced which falls to the bottom and decays consumes oxygen faster than oxygen can be supplied by mixing, with the result that Station 3 is "permanently" anoxic and Stations 1 and 2 seasonally anoxic. Although there is no oxygen present, additional organic matter falling into these anoxic zones still decays except the released inorganic nitrogen remains as ammonium in the water column (Figure 6c) until it is mixed into the surface waters. Just as stratification "keeps oxygen out", it serves to "keep ammonium in".

16

result is the accumulation of exceedingly high levels of ammonium (18 mg N/l) especially at Station 3 which is not seasonally mixed. This condition is typical of all anoxic marine basins from Oyster Pond and Salt Pond to the Black Sea. The combination of inputs of "new" nitrogen with "old" nitrogen compounded by high (ammonium) concentrations from the anaerobic bottom waters maintains the nitrogen concentrations at the highest levels found in any of the ponds. Oyster Pond has higher nitrogen concentrations than any of the other stations in either Little or Green Pond. Although the streams entering all three ponds have fairly high levels of nitrogen as nitrate, the original source is as yet unclear and it is not possible with the available data to distinguish between natural and man-induced inputs.

Total nitrogen concentration determined as the sum of nitrate, ammonium, dissolved organic nitrogen and particulate organic nitrogen was calculated for each pond station and sampling period and for the Vineyard Sound station (Table 1). No strong seasonal trends were observed for any stations during this study (Figure 7, 8, 9, 10), although large differences were found between sampling dates due primarily to periodic algal blooms. Vineyard Sound, as expected, had the lowest observed total nitrogen and was consistently less than 0.32 mg N/l and averaged 0.267 mg N/l over the study period (Figure 7). The Upper Green Pond stations (1, 2, 2A, 3) were consistently above 0.5 mg N/l and frequently greater than 0.75 mg N/l; in fact, of these stations, only Station 3 (0.66 mg N/l) had an average total nitrogen value below 0.75 mg N/l (Figure 8 a,b,c,d). Lower Green Pond (Station 4 and 5) exhibited significantly lower total nitrogen levels due primarily to exchange with the low nitrogen Vineyard Sound water, with average values of 0.444 and 0.440 mg N/l, respectively (Figure 8 e,f).

Consistent with its reduced flushing relative to Green Pond, all stations in Little Pond exhibited total nitrogen values approaching or in excess of 0.75 mg N/l (Figure 9 a,b,c,d). In addition, an extensive macroalgal bloom occurred in June and July 1989 which impacted almost all of the pond. Oyster Pond, as discussed above, had the highest levels of total nitrogen with no single pond station having a depth averaged mean concentration of less than 1 mg N/l (Figure 10 a,b,c,d), and in the

SUMMARY DATA CITIZENS MONITORING STUDY WOODS HOLE OCEANOGRAPHIC INSTITUTION/TOWN OF FALMOUTH DR. A. WHITE & DR. B. HOWES

TABLE 1.

							LADLE									
	******	********** TOTAL NITROGEN (MILLIGRAMS PER LITER): WATERCOLUMN AVERAGES BY STATION *****************************														
Statiom																
	Sep-87	0ct-87	May-88	Jun-88	Jul-88	Aug-88	Sep-88	0ct-88	Jan-89	Mar - 89	May-89	Jun-89	Jul-89	Aug-89	Sep-89	0ct-89
1111111					33333333		11111111	1111111	11111111		=======			23383322	22222222	
GREEN P	DND			-												
6P 1	0.847	1.180	1.250	1.507	0.952	1.637	1.656	0.791	1.658	0.593	0.984	1.459	1.113	1.146	1.008	0.841
6P 2	0.954	0.664	1.200	0.644	0.818	1.586	0.837	0.693	1.133	0.430	0.906	0.795	0.884	0.774	0.659	0.733
6P 2A	NA	NA	1.719	0.509	0.546	0.968	0.773	0.498	1.056	0.316	0.839	1.014	0.734	0.763	0.611	0.588
GP 3	0.421	0.786	0.931	0.480	0.451	1.703	0.596	0.824	0.598	0.295	0.614	0.974	0.373	0.525	0.500	0.513
6P 4	0.429	0.395	0.660	0.500	0.431	0.412	0.465	0.389	0.289	0.226	0.558	0.678	0.336	0.550	0.459	0.331
6P 5	0.379	0.436	0.369	0.355	0.312	0.557	0.435	0.283	0.283	0.217	0.428	0.377	0.761	0.511	0.632	0.702
LITTLE	POND															
LP Head	3.729	1.154	2.399	2.162	2.351	2.167	0.761	1.047	0.412	1.222	2.651	0.995	1.939	2.976	2.593	1.381
LP 1	0.703	0.847	0.935	1.157	0.910	2.135	0.708	0.991	0.541	0.917	1.758	0.982	1.300	2.244	1.231	1.391
LP 2	0.650	0.670	0.648	0.618	0.753	1.108	0.614	0.452	0.700	0.923	1.224	0.864	0.519	0.680	0.831	0.594
LP 3	0.506	0.808	0.578	0.498	0.580	1.139	0.751	0.609	0.609	0.805	0.678	0.817	0.438	0.376	0.642	1.529
OYSTER	POND															
OP Head	NA	MA	NA	NA	2.038	1.644	1.759	1.026	0.972	1.242	0.931	2.053	1.872	2.122	1.922	1.564
0P 1	1.384	1.233	2.028	2.298	2.606	2.927	4.058	2.157	2.465	2.301	1.931	3.863	1.419	1.383	1.445	2.284
0P 2	1.699	2.929	2.845	3.203	2.145	3.389	2.666	2.141	2.428	2.276	2.284	2.148	1.995	1.466	1.588	2.060
0P 3	32.737	30.409	9.512	6.773	5.707	8.402	7.633	11.680	11.118	10.509	7.427	8.503	9.85 <b>8</b>	7.570	8.942	5.223
VINEYAR	D SOUND															
VS	NA	0.282	0.299	0.239	0.277	0.251	0.341	0.262	0.246	0.341	0.265	0.299	0.240	0.232	0.296	0.135

***	*****	STATION	AVERAGE	(SEPTEMBER 198)		7 - OCTOBER 1989		*****					
	NITRATE/NI	ITRITE	ANNON	IUN	PARTICUL	ATE'N	DISSOLVE	ORG N	TOTAL	NITROGEN	TOTAL	NITROGEN	
Station	MEAN	SE	HEAN	SE	MEAN	SE	HEAN	SE	MEAN	SE	HEAN	SE	
	(u#)		(uĦ)		(uH)		(uM)		(u#)	(millign		am/1)	
				11111111	11111111	111111	1111111111	1111111111		12222233	11111111		
GREEN POND									•• •				
6P 1	51.24	6.40	2.64	0.39	14.83	2.23	14.4	2.1	83.1	5.8	1.164		
GP 2	11.80	2.02	2.46	0.42	25.57	4.68	21.4	2.2	61.2	4.7	0.857	0.065	
GP 2A	5.85	1.78	1.88	0.34	30.75	6.18	17.3	1.0	55.8	5.9	0.781	0.083	
6P 3	3.24	88.0	2.17	0.33	26.45	5.96	15.4	1.1	47.2	5.9	0.661	0.082	
6P 4	1.54	0.39	2.27	0.34	12.60	1.62	15.3	1.2	31.7	2.2	0.444	0.030	
6P 5	1.35	0.41	2.23	0.32	9.00	0.92	18.4	2.8	31.4	2.7	0.440	0.038	
LITTLE PON	D												
LP Head	60.62	9.63	35.36	5.97	17.90	2.91	19.8	6.9	133.7	15.8	1.871	0.221	
LP 1	22.77	4.52	15.01	2.85	21.34	2.86	24.6	4.0	83.7	8.6	1.172	0.120	
LP 2	11.84	3.08	5.84	1.39	19.03	2.53	16.2	1.5	52.9	3.6	0.740	0.050	
LP 3	6.62	1.96	4.11	0.79	19.17	3.01	20.8	3.4	50.7	4.9	0.710	0.069	
OYSTER PON	D												
OP Head	32.42	5.12	20.29	4.05	13.48	2.82	47.8	6.2	114.0	7.6	1.595	0.106	
0P 1	3.71	1.03	23.24	5.11	67.94	8.35	64.4	7.7	159.7	14.5	2.236	0.203	
OP 2	2.82	0.91	21.94	5.85	67.35	6.81	74.2	6.6	166.3	9.6	2.329	0.134	
OP 3	2.92	0.80	427.60	55.55	128.46	9.96	253.5	88.9	812.5	140.0	11.375	1.960	
VINEYARD S	OUND												
VS	0.56	0.13	1.71	0.26	6.62	0.94	10.2	1.1	19.1	0.9	0.267	0.012	

.

•




Figure 7. Total Nitrogen for Vineyard Sound Station; depth averaged values for each sampling period.




Figure 10 b.






Figure 10 c.

# Coastal Pond Water Quality SEPTEMBER 1987 - OCTOBER 1989




Figure 10 d.

"permanently" anoxic Station 3 total nitrogen reached values in excess of 30 mg N/I. The large variability in the total nitrogen measured at Station 3 results primarily from the steep gradient in total nitrogen from lower values at the surface to extremely high values near the bottom. The effect of this steep gradient is that small differences in the depth of water collection results in large differences in measured nitrogen concentrations with resultant effects on the water column averages.

The total nitrogen distributions in the ponds are consistent with the areas of measured oxygen depletion. Upper Green Pond, Little Pond and Oyster Pond stations with total nitrogen values in excess of 0.75 mg N/l are the areas showing significant oxygen depletion hence diminished water quality. Only Lower Green Pond stations (Stations 4 and 5) which are well mixed, well flushed systems with lower total nitrogen concentrations, are not yet experiencing significant oxygen depletion.

Unfortunately, the oxygen and nutrient conditions measured in this study <u>must</u> be evaluated as a "<u>best case</u>", in other words the conditions are better than reality. The reason for this caveat is that as stated above, oxygen conditions were measured in late morning, while lowest oxygen concentrations occur near dawn and generally improve during the day. More importantly, in some regions of the watersheds of the ponds recent additional nutrient loading to the groundwater has not yet impacted the ponds due to the long time lag imposed by the slow rate of groundwater flow. In simple terms, the nutrient conditions in the ponds are not yet in steady state with the inputs to the watershed. In addition, particularly in Green Pond, additional loading to either the lower or upper pond will likely lower water quality throughout the whole pond given the bi-directional tidal driven flow.

#### **OYSTERS**

Oysters grew best at the site in Little Pond near Station LP3 (see map in Appendix 4) whether considered on the basis of total volume, total weight or ashfree dry weight (Figures 11 a,b,c). Oysters grew nearly as well at the seaward site in Green Pond near Station GP4 (again see map in Appendix 4). At each of these sites only one oyster died during the grow-out experiment. Oyster growth was also






Figure 11 a. Mean displacement volume of oysters set out in Oyster, Little and Green Ponds.








Figure 11 c. Mean ash-free dry weight of oyster tissue along with the mean concentration of particulate organic nitrogen (PON) in the water column at each site during the oyster grow-out period.

excellent at the control site in Vineyard Sound (WHOI dock near the Shore Laboratory on the bike path), but these oysters were lost during a fall storm so their growth could not be quantified. Oysters grew moderately well at the landward site in Green Pond near Station GP2 and mortality there was also minimal.

In Oyster Pond, however, the oysters grew poorly at both sites, in the vicinity of Stations OP1 and OP3. The level of mortality at these sites was about 15 percent, occurring during the summer months. The inability of oysters to grow in Oyster Pond may be related to the reduced salinity of the pond, to the composition of phytoplankton available as food, or to a combination of these. Figure 11 c shows there was plenty of organic matter in the water in Oyster Pond, as indicated by the high levels of particulate organic nitrogen (PON) during the course of the experiment. Of course, this organic matter may have been in the form of phytoplankton species unpalatable to or indigestible by the oysters.

These data seem to suggest that shellfish can survive in all of the ponds. However, it is important to note that the oysters were suspended in nets above the bottom and therefore do not reflect infaunal animal survival.

## NITROGEN BUDGET FOR LITTLE POND

In collaboration with the research conducted through the Pond Watcher project on Oyster, Little, and Green Ponds, a more detailed investigation of the nitrogen budget for a coastal salt pond is being conducted concurrently on Little Pond, also with WHOI Sea Grant funding. The focus of this project is to quantify nutrient inputs due to human impacts versus those from natural processes and the degree to which these interact. The study is designed to determine the processes which control the nutrient and oxygen conditions, hence the water quality of a coastal salt pond. Only by determining the controlling factors can we make rational decisions as to which environmental processes we need to target in a management program. Of major importance to the determination of current and potential impacts of this nutrient loading is understanding the extent to which the system is self sustaining as a result of nutrients incorporated into and stored within the sediments. This "battery effect" may continue to supply nutrients to overlying waters even if the original inputs are diminished. Understanding the relative importance of the sources and sinks of nutrients, primarily in the form of nitrogen, requires the construction of a nutrient budget for the entire system.

For a coastal pond ecosystem, the major nutrient inputs come from the entire watershed via groundwater, with additional but less significant input from terrestrial runoff and precipitation. The major losses occur primarily through tidal exchange with associated waters, sediment denitrification (whereby nitrate is transformed into harmless nitrogen gas), and burial into the sediments as particulate nitrogen. The most significant of these processes, which as yet remain poorly understood, are groundwater contributions and denitrification. The extent to which the input is partitioned between these fates determines the importance of each of the major inputs to potential eutrophication.

The construction of a nitrogen budget for Little Pond requires measurements of: groundwater transported nutrients, benthic regeneration of inorganic nitrogen, import and export through tidal exchange, denitrification, rainfall, streamflow and nitrogen burial in the bottom sediments. Emphasis is being placed on 1) the potential importance of benthic sediments in maintaining high levels of nutrient loading to the water column relative to alterations in the contribution of other sources, 2) the importance of groundwater transported nutrients and the loss of nitrogen to denitrification processes as it moves via groundwater flow to the pond water column, and 3) the significance of residential on-site sewage disposal and fertilizer use to the overall nutrient economy of the adjacent coastal salt pond.

The next phase of work requires delineation of the watershed contributing groundwater to the pond. The major effort of acquiring permission from land owners and installation of monitoring wells for measurement of groundwater flow and sample collection is complete and we are now in the initial stages of experimentation.

A permanent tide gauge station has been established for the monitoring of tidal range over the course of the study. A stream gauge recorder has been placed at the head of the pond to measure flow from the major stream input source. These data, when coupled with groundwater input estimates and detailed bathymetry of the pond, will give us an accurate assessment of water turnover in the pond.

Groundwater nutrient concentrations will be measured using multilevel wells to allow determination of the potential groundwater nutrient load to the pond. The extent to which these nutrients reach the pond water column will be determined from measurements of benthic nutrient exchange, sediment nutrient profiles, and groundwater seepage and denitrification measurements. Rainfall data are being collected by the Pond Watcher gauges in the area.

Finally, a census is being conducted in the watershed area to determine population, fertilizer use, age of houses, and number of bedrooms to help quantify human nutrient inputs. With this information we will be able to model the nitrogen budget for the pond and determine the relative importance of natural inputs versus human activities to pond eutrophication and the potential effects of altering the inputs to or losses from the system.

### CONCLUSIONS

1) Basically, Oyster, Little and Green Ponds are more sensitive to nutrient loading than adjacent coastal waters because of similar underlying processes. Each pond displays estuarine characteristics, the waters of each pond exhibit elevated temperatures in summer, and each pond shows stratification, thus setting the stage for oxygen reduction or depletion and the ensuing ecological stress to animal and plant communities.

2) All of Little Pond, the upper reaches of Green Pond, and the mid and upper sections of Oyster Pond show periodic reduction or depletion of oxygen. The seaward basin of Oyster Pond shows persistent anoxia resulting in a high concentrations of hydrogen sulfide in the deeper waters.

3) In simple terms, the three ponds act as factories for transforming inorganic nitrogen to particulate organic nitrogen. Nitrates enter the ponds primarily at the landward sites, are taken up by the micro and macroalgal communities as they progress down the pond, and are converted into particulate nitrogen, which, as a

consequence of its decay, leads to the oxygen depletion mentioned above.

4) Although there was significant variability in nutrient levels between sampling dates, no obvious seasonal trends in nutrient levels were apparent.

5) Total nitrogen concentrations in all three ponds currently exceed 0.5 mg per liter, with the exception of the seaward region of Green Pond which averages slightly less than 0.5 mg per liter.

#### RECOMMENDATIONS

1) Because the nutrient data show variability through time, it is important for the purposes of assessing existing nutrient levels relative to the Coastal Pond Nutrient Overlay Bylaw that measurements be conducted on a number of occasions.

2) The lack of a strong pattern of seasonal variability in nitrogen levels allows for less intensive sample collection during the winter months meaning that more intensive sampling of nutrient conditions during warmer summer months is appropriate.

3) Because the ponds are most sensitive to oxygen depletion during the warmer months (April through November), nutrient conditions during this period are the critical values for assessing the impacts of additional nutrient loading.

4) Biologically active nitrogen pools (nitrate, ammonium and particulate organic nitrogen) may be more useful for gauging the susceptibility of ponds to nutrient loading than is total nitrogen.

5) Environmental planners should recognize that the health of coastal ponds is more directly indexed by oxygen conditions (periodic anoxia) and by the status of existing animal and plant communities than by total nitrogen conditions. In other words, low total nitrogen levels may not mean that pond water quality is satisfactory, although our results indicate that high levels of total nitrogen do seem to be related to oxygen depletion and poor water quality.

6) At present data are not available to be able to assess the relative contributions of man's activities versus natural events in the nitrogen loading of the ponds. Nevertheless, the data obtained during this study indicate that these ponds have poor water quality during the summer months. The ponds appear to be poised for more severe and widespread environmental problems if nutrient loading continues to increase above present levels. Therefore, it is recommended that management options be considered and adopted to reduce nutrient inputs (or increase nutrient outputs) to the ponds, particularly during the critical summer months.

It is intended that these recommendations be updated and expanded as more information becomes available from continuing phases of the Pond Watcher project and other WHOI Sea Grant-supported coastal salt pond projects.

#### PLANS FOR 1990

This Pond Watcher project was initially intended to be conducted over a two-year period, 1988 and 1989. However, the project has developed and proceeded so smoothly and productively that there are good reasons for encouraging its continuation in 1990. Now that the Pond Watcher volunteers have been trained and mobilized to be an enthusiastic and responsive group of "research assistants," it would be a shame to see a loss of the community service momentum that has been built around a common interest in the health and welfare of Falmouth's coastal ponds.

Further, a productive synergism has developed between this project and other WHOI Sea Grant-supported projects focussing on Falmouth's coastal ponds. As a result of this joint effort, substantial headway is being made in understanding the water quality status of the ponds, the detailed mechanisms involved in eutrophication of the ponds, and the links between nutrient loading and resulting ecological consequences. All of this has a significant bearing on how the Town plans and manages development around its coastal ponds. As well, these projects provide much-needed information necessary for fuller interpretation of the recently enacted Coastal Pond Nutrient Overlay Bylaw.

Accordingly, we propose to continue the Pond Watcher project in 1990, shifting emphasis toward a more intensive examination of the three ponds in mid-summer when the ponds are the most vulnerable to the effects of water quality degradation, along with a general nutrient and oxygen survey of other coastal ponds in Falmouth (such as Great Pond and Bourne's Pond) to be able to rank them relative to the ponds for which we already have information.

During the year we also intend to explore opportunities with Pond Watchers and with local, state, and federal agencies for 1) perpetuation of citizens monitoring of coastal ponds in Falmouth as a self-run effort and 2) linking such an effort with local, state, and federal funding and to the environmental regulatory infrastructure.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We wish to express our sincere thanks to all Pond Watchers for their interest in and support for this project. We are especially grateful to Pond Captains and those Pond Watchers who played a role in the sampling aspects of the project for giving freely of their time and expenses (boats, gas, ice, etc.). We thank Richard van Etten, Tony Millham, Andrea Arenovski, David Schlezinger, and David White for research support, and Lee Anne Campbell and Nanci Pacheco for their assistance with project coordination and outreach. FALMOUTH ENTERPRISE MAY 6, 1988

Page Seven

# Sea Grant Program Seeks Volunteers For Summer Coastal Pond Study

Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution's Sea Grant Program is seeking volunteers interested in participating in a study, starting this summer, of the health of three coastal ponds in Falmouth.

The purpose of the project, a cooperative venture of the Sea Grant Program and the town of Falmouth, is to monitor water quality conditions in Green, Little and Oyster ponds over a period of two years. All three ponds are showing the effects of an overabundance of nutrients accumulating in their waters, said Alan W. White, marine science adviser for the program.

The data to be collected will provide the town with information for assessing the potential effectiveness of environmental management options such as restrictions on the use of fertilizer, requiring denitrifying septic systems in coastal watersheds, a moritorium on building or opening and improving inlets from the ocean, Mr. White said.

The project originated with an article proposed by William B. Kerfoot, president of K-V Associates, Inc. of Falmouth at the annual town meeting last year. The article sought \$60,000 in town funding for a study of the three ponds.

When it became evident that sufficient town funds were not available to carry out the project, institution geologist David A. Ross, coordinator of the Sea Grant Program and a town meeting member, proposed seeking Sea Grant support for the project. Town meeting members approved allocation of \$5,000 last year to get the project underway.

A grant of \$34,000 from Sea Grant and an additional \$9,000

voted at this year's town meeting will enable organizers to proceed with the monitornaphase of the project, Mr. White said.

With the help of Brian L. Howes and Dale D. Goehringer of the institution's biology department, Mr. White collected samples from all three ponds last fall. The three plan to collect more samples this month and next to assess such environmental parameters as temperature, salinity, dissolved oxygen, light levels and the presence of various forms of nitrates and phosphates, Mr. White said. **Preliminary Report** 

These data will form the basis of a preliminary report on the current condition of the ponds, a baseline for the two-year monitoring project, he noted.

Mr. White hopes to attract two sorts of volunteers for the project. Boat owners willing to go out on the ponds once a month during the summer are needed to make various simple measurements and collect samples from certain locations in each pond, he said.

Also needed are pondwatchers, individuals interested in keeping an eye on the ponds year-round for un-

usual events, such as fish kills, extensive algal blooms and mats or bad odors, he added.

Dr. Howes and Ms. Goehringer will analyse samples collected for nutrients at their laboratory in Woods Hole. Both have been involved in nutrient studies of coastal waters for a number of years, Mr. White said.

"The involvement of citizen volunteers in environmental monitoring is taking place all over the country," he noted, pointing to a similar study of Rhode Island coastal ponds under the direction of researchers from the University of Rhode Island. "At this stage we would like to hear from people who live near these ponds and are willing to pitch in."

Volunteers will receive training, starting in early June, in the use of water samplers, thermometers, rain gauges and other instruments. Some 12 residents have already contacted the Sea Grant office to volunteer their services, Mr. White said, adding that many more are needed.

APPENDIX 2 (updated 3/90)

POND WATCHERS 3/90 GREEN POND

Matthew and Beth Adamczyk 10 Sharon Ann Lane East Falmouth, MA 02536 540-7334

Eleanor Baldic Mariners Lane Falmouth, MA 02540 548-2681

Edward L. Beattie 96 Shoreland Path East Falmouth, MA 02536 548-6216

Charles Blumsack 59 Partridge Lane East Falmouth, MA 02536

Jim Churchill 495 Blacksmith Shop Road East Falmouth, MA 02536 540-0526

Jonathan Cutone 295 Edgewater Drive East East Falmouth, MA 02536 548-8178

Ellen DeOrsay 242 East Falmouth Highway East Falmouth, MA 02536 540-2468

Forbes Howard 65 Renee Lane East Falmouth, MA 02536 540-8228

Mike Kinney 459 Davisville Road East Falmouth, MA 02536 548-2028

Dick Lewis Green Pond Fish N' Gear 366 Menauhant Road East Falmouth, MA 02536 548-2573 Carol McKenzie 420 Shorewood Drive East Falmouth, MA 02536 548-4447

Steve Molyneaux 230 Davisville Road East Falmouth, MA 02536 540-2484 P.O. Box 595, WH 02543

Frances O'Donnell 49 Vineyard Street East Falmouth, MA 02536 548-6033

<u>Armand Ortins</u> (Pond Captain) 40 Bridge Street East Falmouth, MA 02536 548-1670

Terry Reihl 111 Portside Circle East Falmouth, MA 02536 548-5186

Gretchen Rittershaus P.O. Box 69 East Falmouth, MA 02536 548-0509

David Ross 53 Green Pond Road East Falmouth, MA 02536 548-0476

<u>Frank</u> & Diane <u>Souza</u> (Pond Captain) 55 Sharon Ann Lane East Falmouth, MA 02536 540-3246

Edmund Wessling (Pond Captain) 28 Bridge Street (Acapesket) East Falmouth, MA 02536 548-7736 Page 3 PONDWATCHERS APPENDIX 2 (updated 3/90)

#### OYSTER POND

Duncan Aspinwall 408 Elm Road Falmouth, MA 02540 540-3816

Paul Crocker 37 Fells Road Falmouth, MA 02540 548-2106

<u>John Dowling</u> (Pond Captain) Ransom Road Falmouth, MA 02540 548-2926

Donald & Helen Light 90 Ship's Watch Falmouth, MA 02540 548-0277

Bob Livingstone Fells Road Falmouth, MA 02540 540-8065

Werner & Birgit Loewenstein 102 Ransom Road Falmouth, MA 02540 Barry and Barbara Norris 52 Landfall Road Falmouth, MA 02540 540-7345

Barbara Peri 2 Tortoise Lane Falmouth, MA 02540 548-2769

<u>Julie Rankin</u> (Pond Captain) 37 Oyster Pond Road Falmouth, MA 02540 548-3463 (winter address) PO Box 97 Ashford, CT 06278

Marge and Don Zinn P.O. Box 589 Falmouth, MA 02541 548-1559

#### **GENERAL**

Frank Britto 355 Davisville Road East Falmouth, MA 02536 540-0316

Katherine Crew P.O. Box 397 Falmouth, MA 02540 548-8186

Bill Elder 41 Millfield Street Woods Hole, MA 02543

Angela Frater c/o 77 Bittersweet Road East Falmouth, MA 02536 548-9513 (winter address) 2403 W. Hickory Lane Mequon, WI 53092